

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE 1 OF 7 PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER 0003		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 06 OCT 2026		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER		5. PROJECT NUMBER (If applicable) 689-040	
6. ISSUED BY W2SD ENDIST NEW ENGLAND KO CONTRACTING DIVISION, 696 VIRGINIA ROAD CONCORD, MA 01742-2751 UNITED STATES TYLER MARYAK, EMAIL: TYLER.S.MARYAK@USACE.ARMY.MIL TELEPHONE: 978-318-8049		CODE W912WJ		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) SCD: PAS:		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(X)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER W912WJ26RA008	
				(X)		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 24 AUG 2026	
				()		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER	
				()		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
 or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.
 If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)
 SEE SECTION G - CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
()	
()	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
()	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
()	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

This amendment is necessary to respond to RFIs, provide the site visit attendees list, extend the proposal due date and RFI submission due date, and to provide updated Submission Instructions and Evaluation Criteria. All other aspects remain unchanged.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) ERIN E. BRADLEY	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
15C. DATE SIGNED		16C. DATE SIGNED 06 OCT 2026	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Section 00 00 00 - Procurement and Contracting Requirements

The following changes have been made:

INFORMATION	FROM	TO
Response Due Date	23 Oct 2026	06 Nov 2026

Section 00 21 00 - Instructions

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified from:

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

Offerors shall reference the attached document titled "Chilled Water Plant Replacement & Site Utility Improvements (Phase A2), VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 950 Campbell Ave., West Haven, Connecticut, W912WJ26RA008, Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria" and dated **22 September 2026** for the submission requirements and evaluation criteria for this solicitation.

SITE VISIT (CONSTRUCTION)

(a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this *solicitation*. Accordingly, *offerors* or quoters are urged and expected to inspect the site where the work will be performed.

(b) An organized site visit has been scheduled for: 1000 AM ET on 29 September 2026.

(c) Participants will meet at: Building 15, VA West Haven, 950 Campbell Avenue, West Haven, CT 06516.

To:

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

Offerors shall reference the attached document titled "Chilled Water Plant Replacement & Site Utility Improvements (Phase A2), VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 950 Campbell Ave., West Haven, Connecticut, W912WJ26RA008, Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria" and dated **02 October 2026** for the submission requirements and evaluation criteria for this solicitation.

SITE VISIT (CONSTRUCTION)

(a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this *solicitation*. Accordingly, *offerors* or quoters are urged and expected to inspect the site where the work will be performed.

(b) An organized site visit has been scheduled for: 1000 AM ET on 29 September 2026.

(c) Participants will meet at: Building 15, VA West Haven, 950 Campbell Avenue, West Haven, CT 06516.

Section 00 21 16 - Instructions to Proposers

Miscellaneous text in this section has been added to:

Amendment 0003

	SITE VISIT ATTENDEES LIST	
<u>Name</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Phone</u>
Matthew Costaregni	Schneider Electric Federal	629-248-4025
Chris Gray	Wayne J. Griffin	860-639-9975
Kam Patel	J&J Contractors, Inc.	978-259-2154

Parker Helwig	J&J Contractors, Inc.	781-879-8666
Ryan Ghezzi	Methuen Construction	603-328-2222
Pete Pare	All State Construction	860-678-0678
Jared Lockhart	All State Construction	860-839-8322 jlockhart@allstateconstruction.com
John Digertt	John Digertt, Inc.	860-558-3535
Jason Nilon	Siemens	860-301-2014
Jacob Chalifoux	BL Mechanical	508-341-6520
Royce Hadden	Thorogood Electrical	860-212-2852
Will Vitalli	New England Yankee Construction, LLC	203-284-9972
Kim Stradford	Johnson Controls, Inc.	860-227-8934 kim.stradford@jci.com
Matt Goncalves	Synergy Electrical Systems	401-524-9057 mattg@synergielectric.net
Michael Lupo	Kokolakis Contracting	631-275-3825 mlupo@jkokolakis.com
Salem Baki	Lee Kennedy Company	617-706-0616
Doug Colwell	Atlantic Coast Dismantling	617-293-9810
Brian Lefevre	Bestech	860-798-3091

Submission Instructions and Evaluation Criteria

Please see revised Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria, dated 02 October 2026, and note that some submission requirements and evaluation criteria have changed.

Bidder Inquiry Instructions

Technical inquiries and questions relating to this solicitation are to be submitted via "Bidder Inquiry" in ProjNet at (<https://www.projnet.org>) No Later Than (NLT) 1:00 PM ET on 23 October 2026.

Requests for Information

1. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 11988337)

While reviewing the Electronic Security System Justification and Approval for the Chilled Water Plant Replacement and Site Utility Phase A2 at the VA Medical Center in W. Haven, CT I have identified an error in the document beginning at page 4. The document references the Notice of Intent and state Johnson Controls will not propose on the construction work itself and that the installation would be performed by Johnson Controls certified integrator, such as Security 101. This information is incorrect and I would like to request a revision to this statement. Johnson Controls not only furnish the manufacturer equipment, but also perform work associated with all construction projects relating to the PACS and VMS for the VA Campus, the statement that Johnson Controls does not work on construction projects for the campus is also inaccurate. Can you kindly identify the individual or source that provided this information indicating that Johnson Controls does not work on construction project for the campus? I would like to better understand the basis for this statement so that we can ensure the Justification accurately reflects Johnson Controls history dating back to 2009 and current involvement with the VA. Security 101 is a separate security integrator from Johnson Controls and is only a seller of the CCure components. They are not a Johnson Controls entity and does not perform work on Johnson Controls behalf. Johnson Controls is the existing active Security Integrator for the VA Medical Center campuses in Connecticut and Providence RI, including Newington, New London, and Orange Annex. Johnson Controls is under contract with the campuses to maintain and support the existing Sole Source PACS and Video Management System across the campuses. No other integrator can work on the Sole Source system, as previous job given to someone other than Johnson Controls has resulted in additional funds needed to hire Johnson Controls to fix the issues. Given JCI's existing contract infrastructure, and knowledge of the current system, I believe the language in the Justification should be corrected to accurately reflect Johnson Controls existing role and relationship with the VA Campus. Security 101 should be removed from the Justification Document.

See updated J&A attached to this amendment. As Security 101 is not a JCI entity and does not perform work on behalf of JCI, the reference to Security 101 has been removed. A certified integrator can perform the work.

2. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 11994503)

Upon reviewing of the solicitation and Justification document, Johnson Controls Building Solutions LLC identified an apparent discrepancy regarding the Johnson Controls entity referenced in the Justification documentation. It appears that discussions regarding the project may have taken place with the Johnson Controls Product Organization rather than the Johnson Controls local branch responsible for maintaining and supporting the existing security systems at this campus. Please confirm whether the security integrator identified in the Justification document was referenced in error and if an Addendum will be released to make the correction to identify Johnson Controls Building Solution LLC the local branch responsible for the existing campus security system.

Please see response to Amendment 0003, Question 1.

3. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 11994499)

Security Door 302 listed in the door schedule, but not identified on drawings provided, please provide drawing identifying door 302 or advise if door exists.

There are three doors mislabeled as Door Number 302 in the drawings. The doors should be WS101, WS104, and WS106A. Please see Plan T600 attached to this amendment.

4. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 11994500)

Doors 101, 104 and 106A are identified on drawing WS-TN101, but are not listed in the Door Schedule, please confirm these doors are to receive access control.

Please see response to Amendment 0003, Question 3.

5. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 11994502)

Under this project do you require Stand Alone including Head End for Access Control or integrate into existing. The Campus is running a virtual server.

It should integrate into the existing system.

6. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 12002733)

Per Specification Volume 2, pages 1214, 1397, 1577 and 1612 reference section 28.16, but this section is not included in the package, can you clarify if Intrusion System is part of this project?

Intrusion detection system is not required in this building. Please see revisions to Specifications Sections 27.05.11, 28.05.00, 28.13.00, and 28.23.00 attached to this amendment.

7. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 12015621)

Past Performance - Key Subcontractors: CPARS evaluations and PPQs are typically available only for prime contractors. For key subcontractors that performed on a submitted past project but did not serve as the prime contractor, these documents may not be available or may not be representative of their individual performance (entire project focused). Are either CPARS evaluations or PPQs required for the past performance projects submitted for key subcontractors?

A CPARS or PPQ evaluation is required for key subcontractors. Please see Past Performance Reports/Questionnaires #2 in the Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria document.

8. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 12018245)

Bid Option 0006 requires contractor to facilitate engaging local electrical utility company to provide second campus feed. Does the government have a POC at the utility of someone who was involved in the design phase and is familiar with the scope?

Yes, Jordan Dibona can be reached at jordan.dibona@uinet.com.

9. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 12018247)

Bid Option 0006 requires contractor to facilitate engaging local electrical utility company to provide second campus feed. If the option is awarded, is the Contractor responsible for the cost of the utility company to provide this feeder?

Bid Option 0006 is for the United Illuminating (UI) redundant campus feed. The allowance amount listed on the bid schedule is for the payment for all work associated with the UI redundant feed to the campus. The contractor is responsible for payments to the utility company. Please see Specifications Section 01 22 00.

10. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 12018252)

Bid Option 0006 requires contractor to coordinate with utility company and update the Government on scheduling. If awarded, will the Option 6 work need to be completed within the 1280 days or will an extension be given for this work beyond the current CCD?

If Bid Option 0006 is exercised, the work shall be completed within the contract Period of Performance of 1,280 days. The project duration will remain unchanged.

11. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 12018403)

Ref. Summary Schedule - What date should be used for the award/NTP within the summary schedule?

For the purpose of proposal development, Offerors shall assume a Notice to Proceed date of 01 June 2027.

12. (ProjNet Inquiry ID: 12019522)

07 84 00 para. 3.5 does not reference a requirement for a final inspection to be witnessed by the contracting officer or the AHJ. Please confirm a final inspection is not required and that the final report is all that is required to close out the firestopping scope.

Per Paragraph 3.5a, final inspection of firestop assemblies by the Government is required.