

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE 1 OF 14 PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 07 Oct 2026		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER		5. PROJECT NUMBER (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE COMMAND 3632 GUADALCANAL ROAD, BLDG 165 SAN DIEGO, CA 92155-5583 UNITED STATES Emily Lonigro, Email: emily.lonigro@socom.mil Telephone: 6194159286		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE SCD: PAS:					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(X)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER H9224026QE075	
				(X)		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 14 Sep 2026	
				()		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER	
				()		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
 or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)
 See Section G - Contract Administration Data

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
 See Schedule

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Emily Lonigro	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED 07 Oct 2026
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE**SUMMARY OF CHANGES****Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification):** The purpose of this solicitation amendment is to

1. Extend proposal submission date to 16 Oct 2026, 10:00 AM ET.
2. Revise Section L 52.212-1 Addendum and Section M 52.212-2 incorporate changes resulting from Q&A as well as make administrative corrections.
3. FAR 52.228-5 will be incorporated upon contract award.
4. Incorporate revised Attachment 1 Performance Work Statement - Role Player Support Service.
5. Incorporate revised Attachment 2 - Pricing and ELIN sheet
6. Incorporate Attachments 5-8 Wage Determinations for Niland, San Clemente, Ft. Hunter and Seal Beach respectively.
7. Incorporate revised Attachment S.2 - Initial Task Order Request Niland (formally Sample TOR)
8. Incorporate Attachment S.3 - Initial Task Order Request SCI

Solicitation/Contract Form**The following modifications were made:**

Information	From	To
Response Due Date	14 Oct 2026	16 Oct 2026

Supplies or Services & Prices or Costs**Line Item 0005****The following Line Item(s) were added:**

Item	Supplies / Services	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Amount
0005	Role Player Support- Seal Beach CA The contractor shall provide services in accordance with Attachment 1 - Performance Work Statement (PW) and Attachment 2 - ELIN Pricing Sheet. Invoicing is authorized at the completion of each training event as specified at the task order level. Ordering Period One TBD Ordering Period Two TBD Ordering Period Three TBD Ordering Period Four TBD Ordering Period Five TBD Product Service Code: U099 Pricing Arrangement: Firm Fixed Price	300,000	Session		

Line Item 0006**The following Line Item(s) were deleted:**

Item	Supplies / Services	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Amount
0006	Role Player Support- Seal Beach CA The contractor shall provide services in accordance with Attachment 1 - Performance Work Statement (PW) and Attachment 2 - ELIN Pricing Sheet. Invoicing is authorized at the completion of each training event as specified at the task order level. Ordering Period One TBD Ordering Period Two TBD Ordering Period Three TBD Ordering Period Four TBD Ordering Period Five TBD Product Service Code: U099 Pricing Arrangement: Firm Fixed Price	300,000	Session		

Inspection and Acceptance

Line Item 0005

The following Inspection and Acceptance Location(s) were added:

Inspection and Acceptance Location - DoDAAC: R48254

Information	Value
Action	Both
Location	Destination
Acceptance Location	R48254 NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE GROUP 1 COMPTROLLER N7, 3632 GUADALCANAL ROAD SAN DIEGO, CA 92155-5583 UNITED STATES
Instructions	See Statement of Work (SOW) or Performance Work Statement (PWS) for Acceptance/inspection criteria.

Line Item 0006

The following Inspection and Acceptance Location(s) were deleted:

Inspection and Acceptance Location - DoDAAC: R48254

Deliveries or Performance

Line Item 0005

The following Delivery Information were added:

Information	Value
Period of Performance	26 Oct 2026 to 25 Oct 2031

Line Item 0006

The following Delivery Information were deleted:

Period of Performance

Contract Administration Data

Header Miscellaneous Text (Added):

The kinds and minimum amounts of insurance required in accordance with RFO FAR 52.228-5 Insurance-Work on a Government Installation" are as follows:

TYPE	AMOUNT
Workers Compensation & Occupational Disease	\$100,000.00
General Liability-Bodily Injury	\$500,000.00
Automobile Bodily Injury Liability	\$200,000.00 per person/\$500,000.00 per occurrence for bodily injury/\$20,000.00 per occurrence for property damage

Special Contract Requirements

Header Miscellaneous Text (Modified):

H.1 Disclosure of Unclassified Information

(a) The protection of sensitive but unclassified data reflecting Special Operations technologies, personnel, plans, and business associations requires due diligence on the part of those in possession of such information. The application of appropriate security measures to ensure the safekeeping of USSOCOM and company proprietary data, intellectual property, and personnel data is the responsibility of all parties who have access to such information. On September 21, 2001, the Department of Defense designated Headquarters US Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) a sensitive unit, as defined by Title 10 United States Code (USC) Section 130b (10 USC 130b). See DoD Manual 5400.07, Freedom of Information Act Program, dated 25 January 2017 paragraph 5.2 c. (2)(a). In keeping with this designation, unclassified information related to USSOCOM military technology acquisitions managed by USSOCOM or any of its component commands, will be designated Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI). As such, the contractor hereby unequivocally agrees that it shall not release to anyone outside the Contractor's organization any unclassified information, regardless of medium (e.g., film, tape, document, contractor's external website, newspaper, magazine, journal, corporate annual report, email, text, conversation, social media, etc.), pertaining to any part of this contract or any program related to this contract, unless the Contracting Officer has given prior written approval. Furthermore, any release of information which associates USSOCOM, Special Operation Forces (SOF), or any component command with an acquisition program, contractor, or this contract is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer.

(b) That contractor must submit a written request for approval and shall identify the specific information to be released, the medium to be used, and the purpose for the release. The contractor must submit the request to the Contracting Officer at least 45 days before the proposed date for release for approval. No release of any restricted information will be made without specific written authorization by the Contracting Officer.

The Contractor must include a similar requirement to this clause in each subcontract under this contract to affect this requirement at each level of subcontracting. Subcontractors must submit request for authorization to release through the prime contractor to the Contracting Officer.

(c) The Contractor further understands that Title 18 USC Section 701 specifically prohibits the use of the USSOCOM emblem or logo in any manner or medium (e.g., corporate website, marketing brochure, newspaper, magazine, etc.) unless authorized in writing by the Contracting Officer. A written request for use of the USSOCOM emblem or logo must be submitted to Contracting Officer at least 60 days before proposed use. Forward any request to use the USSOCOM emblem or logo through the Contracting Officer.

H.2 Procedures for Issuing Task/Delivery Orders under Single Award, Indefinite Delivery-Indefinite Quantity Contracts

(a) Soliciting Task/Delivery Order Proposals: When the Government has a requirement for services to be performed under this contract, the Contracting Officer will issue a request for task order proposal either in electronic transmission. Each request will include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

- (1) Request for proposal number and/or title;
- (2) Contract Number;
- (3) Statement of Work;
- (4) Instructions to Offerors;
- (5) Items to be delivered and delivery schedule/performance period; and
- (6) A listing of Government furnished property to be provided to the Contractor, if required.

(b) Urgent Requirements: In the event of an urgent requirement, the Contractor shall provide a written proposal within the timeframe specified by the Contracting Officer in the specified format.

(c) Submission of Proposals: The Contractor's proposal must comply with the Instructions to Offerors included in the request for task order proposal. The Contractor shall have no more than [enter appropriate number of days] to submit their proposals, unless:

- (1) A longer timeframe is specified in the request for task order proposal, or
- (2) It is an urgent requirement.

H.3 Oral Orders - Indefinite Delivery Contracts

The Contracting Officer may issue oral orders subject to the following:

- (a) No oral order will exceed \$6,000,000.00.
- (b) The contractor will furnish a delivery ticket with each shipment in triplicate, showing: contract number, order number under the contract, date order was placed, name and title of person placing the order, an itemized listing of supplies or services furnished, unit price, unit of issue, quantity and total price for each line item, and the delivery or performance date.
- (c) Invoices for supplies or services furnished in response to oral orders shall be transmitted electronically along with an electronic copy of the delivery ticket to (insert name or office and URL address).
- (d) Contracting/Ordering Officers shall electronically transmit to the contractor a list of individuals authorized to place oral orders on behalf of the ordering activity.
- (e) The contractor will receive an electronic confirmation notice for each oral order within ten (10) working days of receipt.

H.4 Key Personnel

Certain experienced professional and/or technical personnel are essential for successful accomplishment of the work to be performed under this contract. Such personnel are defined as "Key Personnel" and are those persons whose resumes were submitted for evaluation of the proposal. The contractor agrees that such personnel shall NOT be removed from the contract work or replaced without compliance with the following:

- (1) If one or more of the key personnel, for any reason, becomes or is expected to become unavailable for work under this contract for a continuous period exceeding 10 work days, or is expected to devote substantially less effort to the work than indicated in the proposal or initially anticipated, the contractor shall, subject to the concurrence of the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative, promptly replace personnel with personnel of equal ability and qualifications.
- (2) All requests for approval of substitutions hereunder must be in writing and provide a detailed explanation of the circumstances necessitating the proposed substitutions. The request must contain a resume for the proposed substitute, and any other information requested by the Contracting Officer. The Contracting Officer will promptly notify the contractor of approval or disapproval in writing.
- (b) If the Contracting Officer determines that suitable and timely replacement of Key Personnel who have been reassigned, terminated or have otherwise become unavailable for the contract work is not reasonably forthcoming or that the resultant reduction of productive effort would be so substantial as to impair successful completion of the contract, the Contracting Officer may terminate the contract for default or for the convenience of the Government, as appropriate, or make an equitable adjustment to the contract to compensate the Government for any resultant delay, loss or damage.
- (c) The follow positions are identified as Key Personnel:
Lead Role Player and/or TBD at Task Order Level.

List of Attachments

Header Miscellaneous Text (Modified):

Attachment 1 - Performance Work Statement IDIQ Amendment 1

Attachment 2 - Pricing/ELIN Sheet Amendment 1

Attachment 3 - QASP

Attachment 4 - WD 2015-5635 rev 31 (San Diego)

Attachment 5 - WD # 2015-5607 Imperial (Niland)

Attachment 6 - WD # 2015-5613 Los Angeles (San Clemente)

Attachment 7 - WD # 2015-5633 Monterey (Ft Hunter)

Attachment 8 - WD # 2015-5645 Orange County (Seal Beach)

Instructions, Conditions, & Notices to Offerors or Quoters

Header Miscellaneous Text (Modified):

Solicitation Attachments:

Attachment S.1 - Q&A Template

Attachment S.2 - Initial TOR Niland

Attachment S.3 - Initial TOR SCI

L.1 Independent Review of Agency Protest Decision

In accordance with RFO FAR 33.104(a)(5), interested parties may request an independent review at one level above the contracting officer. The independent review is available as an appeal of the contracting officer's decision on an agency protest. Requests for an independent review shall be submitted timely to the Contracting Officer for coordination with their respective Office Chief.

L.2 Foreign Persons

In accordance with restrictions required by Executive Order 12470, the Arms Export Control Act (Title 22, USC) (Sec 275), the International Traffic in Arms Regulation (ITAR), or DoD Directive 5230.25, Withholding of Unclassified Technical Data from Public Disclosure, no foreign persons will be permitted to work on this contract without notifying the Contracting Officer. Provide the following information for all foreign persons who will be working on the contract (or "X" here if there are no such individuals: ____):

- (1) Full Name:
- (2) Date of Birth:
- (3) Place of Birth:
- (4) Nationality:
- (5) Social Security Number:
- (6) Visa Status:
- (7) Current Address:
- (8) If a Subcontractor, Subcontractor Name and Address:
- (9) Biographic data and/or resume

L.3 FAR 52.212-1 ADDENDUM

(m) Quote/Proposal Preparation and Submission Instructions

1. **QUESTIONS:** Offerors may submit written questions during the quote/proposal preparation period utilizing Attachment S.1 - Q&A. All questions must be received no later than 10:00 AM Eastern Time (ET) on 24 September 2026. Only written questions will receive a response. To ensure a timely and precise response, each question should include the document name, document date, specific page, paragraph, clause, or other definitive citation requiring clarification.

2. **QUOTE/PROPOSAL DUE DATE:** Quotes are due no later than 10:00 AM Eastern Time (ET) on 16 October 2026. Quotes shall be valid for no less than 180 days from the date of RFP closing. A statement affirming this fact shall be included in the Volume 1 Introduction/Administrative section.

3. SUBMISSION METHOD:

Quotes/Proposals shall be submitted electronically to Emily.Ionigro@socom.mil. Email is the only acceptable method of submission. No facsimile, SAM.gov or paper quotes/proposals will be accepted.

If an Offeror's proposal is marked as or contains Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), the proposal shall not be transmitted via standard unencrypted email. Instead, the Offeror must contact the Contracting Officer (KO) by email no later than [e.g., 48 hours] prior to the proposal submission deadline to formally request a secure upload link via the DoD SAFE (Secure Access File Exchange) service. The Government will provide a one-time link to the Offeror for the secure transmission of its CUI-marked proposal. It is the Offeror's responsibility to request this link in a timely manner to ensure its proposal is submitted by the required deadline. Any proposal containing CUI that is transmitted through unsecure means may be rejected and may not be considered for evaluation.

All proposals shall be directed to the Contracting Officer by email, utilizing the following subject line structure: "H9224026QE075_OFFEROR NAME".

Electronic quotes/proposals shall contain separate files for each volume and shall be labeled as follows: "H9224026QE075_OFFEROR NAME_VOL X" (where X represents the Volume number).

Size Limitations: Individual emails, including all attachments, shall not exceed 10MB. Any electronic files or emails exceeding this 10MB limit may be rejected by the Government mail server.

4. **DELIVERY RESPONSIBILITY:** Offerors are solely responsible for ensuring the successful delivery of quotes/proposals to the Government office identified in the previous paragraph by the designated due date and time. Offerors are strongly encouraged to submit proposals with sufficient lead time to accommodate transmission latency and ensure all documents are successfully received prior to closing.

5. **CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT:** The Government contracting team will confirm receipt of your quote/proposal via a return email. If you do not receive an explicit written confirmation of receipt, you must assume your quote/proposal was NOT received.

6. **TIMELINESS:** To be considered timely, an email quote must be received in its entirety in the designated email inbox by the exact due date and time for final quote submission. An email quote that resides on a Government server but has not appeared in the designated email inbox by the due date and time will be considered late in accordance with FAR 52.212-1. Therefore, it is incumbent upon the Offeror to verify receipt. Any proposal/quote received after the exact time specified is "late" in accordance with FAR 15.107(b)(1) and will not be considered unless:

- (i) If it was transmitted through an electronic commerce method authorized by the RFP, it was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 p.m. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of proposals;
- (ii) There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the Government installation designated for receipt of proposals and was under the Government's control prior to the time set for receipt of proposals; or
- (iii) It was the only proposal received.

7. **AWARD WITHOUT DISCUSSIONS:** The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors. Offerors are cautioned that initial proposals should represent their best possible technical and price terms. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary and in the best interest of the Government.

(n) Quote Format and Content

The page format for all written submissions shall be standard 8.5"x11" inches. A "page" is defined as one face of a sheet of paper containing information. Type/font shall be no less than 12 pitch or 12-point font (including all text in charts, tables, and graphics). Elaborate formats, bindings, or color presentations are neither desired nor required.

The submission shall consist of four (4) separate volumes as outlined below:

Volume	Title	Page Limit
Volume 1	Administrative Information	No Page Limit
Volume 2	Technical Capability	15 Pages (Resumes, Certificates and Foreign Persons provision; Quality Control Plan are excluded from page counts)
Volume 3	Past Performance	5 Pages
Volume 4	Price	8 Pages (Includes Pricing Write-Up and excludes completed Pricing Sheets)

VOLUME 1: ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

The Offeror shall provide the following administrative information and compliance declarations:

1. Quote/Proposal Validity Statement: A statement explicitly affirming that the quote is valid for no less than 180 days from the date of RFP closing.
2. Standard Form 1449 (SF1449): The completed and signed first page of the SF1449, with blocks 30a through 30c fully executed by an authorized corporate official. Offerors shall NOT complete the contract line item (CLIN) pricing tables on the SF 1449; all proposed pricing shall be entered exclusively in Attachment 2 - Pricing and ELIN sheet.xlsx.
3. Company Identification: Contractor legal name, commercial address, SAM Unique Entity ID (UEI) code, CAGE code, and Tax Identification Number (TIN).
4. Point of Contact (POC) Information: Name, telephone numbers, and email address of the person(s) authorized to conduct clarifications, negotiations, or answer questions pertaining to the quote.
5. Required Registrations & Fill-In Clauses: Offerors shall be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) prior to submitting a quote to be eligible for award. Quotes received without a completed copy of the provision at FAR 52.204-7 or completed SAM representations may be considered non-compliant. Quotes determined to be non-compliant may be excluded from evaluation. Offerors must also provide completed fill-ins for:
FAR 52.204-26 Covered Telecommunications Equipment or Services--Representation
FAR 52.209-7 Information Regarding Responsibility Matters
FAR 52.209-11 Representation by Corporations Regarding Delinquent Tax Liability or a Felony Conviction Under Any Federal Law
Foreign Persons (Offerors must fill out the Foreign Persons provision located in this volume. If no foreign national personnel will perform work, mark "X" in the designated space).
6. Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC): In accordance with DFARS 252.204-7025, Offerors shall include proof of its CMMC Level 2 (Self) certification in Volume 1.
7. Facility Security Clearance (FCL): Performance requires SECRET-cleared personnel across specified role player categories, prime contractors shall provide documentation establishing possession of a valid corporate Facility Security Clearance (FCL) at the SECRET level at the time of proposal submission.
8. Terms and Conditions Compliance Statement: The Offerors shall include a statement that it either:
 - (a) Agrees to the terms and conditions of this solicitation (consisting of the entire RFQ, including all documents, exhibits, and attachments incorporated by reference) and any subsequent amendments; or
 - (b) Objects to specific terms and conditions, in which case the Contractor shall state: "The terms and conditions in the solicitation are acceptable to be included in the award document with the exception, deletion, or addition of the following: Offeror shall list exception(s) and provide a detailed business or legal rationale for each exception."

Note: It is the sole responsibility of the Offeror to identify any exceptions. If the Offeror does not include an explicit exceptions statement, submission of a quote will be regarded as unconditional acceptance of all Government terms and conditions. Exceptions to any of the terms and conditions of this solicitation may be considered unacceptable by the Government and may result in the quote being rejected as unawardable.

9. Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data: Offerors that include proprietary or restricted data in their quotes shall:

(1) Mark the title page with the following legend:

"This quote includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed -- in whole or in part -- for any purpose other than to evaluate this quote. If, however, a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of -- or in connection with -- the submission of this data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in this data if it is obtained from another source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets [insert numbers or other identification of sheets]."

(2) Mark each individual sheet of restricted data with the following legend:

"Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this quote."

VOLUME 2: TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

This volume shall address the Offeror's technical capabilities to perform the requirements set forth in the PWS. General statements that the Offeror "understands and shall comply" with the requirements are inadequate. Offerors are cautioned not to simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements, but to furnish convincing narratives describing how the Offeror intends to satisfy the work.

Volume 2 shall contain but not limited to the following information:

1. Technical Approach & Execution: Offerors shall provide but not limited to a comprehensive, detailed narrative detailing its operational methods, processes, and procedures for delivering, managing, and sustaining role player personnel across all training environments specified in PWS Section 4.0. The proposal shall demonstrate Offerors' capability to provide fully qualified personnel, including Key Personnel, possessing the requisite knowledge, certifications, and operational expertise necessary to successfully execute all task order requirements.

Furthermore, Offerors shall but not limited to demonstrate the operational capacity to execute realistic training profiles across all designated primary performance locations--including, but not limited to, San Clemente Island, CA; Niland, CA; Fort Hunter Liggett, CA; San Diego, CA; and Seal Beach, CA--and explicitly detail the procedures for securing and maintaining all required local, state, and federal permits. The narrative shall also outline the approach for provisioning all mandatory Contractor-Furnished Equipment (CFE) specified in PWS Section 15.0 (including, but not limited to, helmets, gloves, throat guards, and groin guards) while demonstrating seamless compatibility and integration with Government-Furnished Property (GFP), such as protective full-face masks, pads, and marking cartridge weapons. Finally, Offerors shall delineate its end-to-end vetting and screening methodology to ensure all role players strictly satisfy the administrative, physiological, and operational demands of the PWS (including, but not limited to, enduring physical restraint/zip-ties, remaining prone or standing for extended periods, wearing full PPE, operating marking cartridge weapons, and performing in austere conditions/hours), while documenting full compliance with high-risk training suitability mandates under OPNAVINST 1500.75C and COMNAVSPECWARCOMINST 1500.1.

2. Management, Resource Assignment, Recruitment, and Retention Plan: Offerors shall provide a comprehensive narrative detailing how corporate resources, operational infrastructure, and personnel will be sourced, screened, logistically coordinated, assigned, and retained across all contract locations. The narrative shall include but not limited to detail the corporate management structure, lines of authority, and operational processes for safety-screening, scheduling, staging, and supporting role player personnel across geographically dispersed training sites.

Offerors shall present an active recruitment and retention strategy demonstrating the capability to source, vet, and maintain qualified personnel across all nine (9) role player categories (Categories I through IX, Category X will be identified and negotiated at the TO level) with specific focus on specialized personnel, including

1. Category I (No language, no clearance);
2. Category II (No language, active SECRET clearance required);
3. Category III/IV foreign language speakers (minimum Interagency Language Roundtable [ILR] Level 2 proficiency; formal validation occurs at the task order level via DLPT/OPI score reports or CLPM certification);
4. Category IV foreign language speakers (minimum Interagency Language Roundtable [ILR] Level 2 proficiency; formal validation occurs at the task order level via DLPT/OPI score reports or CLPM certification)
5. Category V tactical Opposing Forces (OPFOR) / former Special Operations Forces (SOF);
6. Category VI amputee/medical scenario role players;
7. Category VII Pyrotechnics Subject Matter Experts (SMEs);
8. Category VIII Weapons Experts (possessing basic to advanced knowledge in weapons handling; distinct from Category VII);
9. Category IX Lead Role Player

o The plan shall establish internal pipeline depth, tiered compensation models, and retention incentives to ensure workforce continuity and prevent performance disruptions.

3. Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI: Offerors shall submit a narrative response that clearly demonstrates its understanding of the technical capability of management and personnel categories to accomplish the service requirements set forth by the PWS and Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI. Offerors shall but not limited to specifically address how the proposed personnel mix and management structure will be logistically coordinated, safety-screened, and deployed to meet the concurrent scheduling demands and workload volume outlined within the Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI.

o Offerors shall note that the technical narrative for the Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI is strictly counted within the 15-page limit of Volume 2.

4. Resumes: Offerors shall submit one (1) resume for each labor category specified in Section 4.8 of the Performance Work Statement (PWS), with the exception of Category X, which will be formally identified, defined, and negotiated at the individual task order level. All submitted resumes shall clearly detail the experience of the proposed individuals, demonstrating its specific capabilities and qualifications to successfully meet the requirements outlined in both Section 4 and Section 5 of the PWS.

- o A single resume for the Category IX Lead Role Player satisfies both the Category IX and the Key Personnel Lead Role Player resume requirement.
- o All proposed personnel for categories requiring a SECRET clearance shall possess an ACTIVE SECRET clearance at the time of proposal submission.
- o For the Lead Role Player (Category IX / Key Personnel), the resume shall clearly demonstrate a minimum of two (2) consecutive years of experience serving as a Special Operations Forces (SOF) Role Player (or equivalent SOF tactical training support experience clearly substantiated in the resume), as well as documented familiarity with sensitive equipment and weapons inventory control procedures.
- o Resumes and associated professional certifications are excluded from the Volume 2-page limit.

5. Quality Control Plan (QCP): Offerors shall submit its comprehensive Quality Control Plan (QCP) in accordance with PWS Section 16.0, detailing procedures for self-inspecting service quality, muster verification, safety compliance, and rapid problem resolution.

VOLUME 3: PAST PERFORMANCE

The Offeror shall address but not limited to its Past Performance to manage and execute the requirements set forth in the PWS.

1. Experience Narrative: Offerors shall sufficiently detailed information to demonstrate that the corporate entity has recent and recurring experience delivering the same or similar services as required by this PWS.

2. Recent and Relevance Summaries: Offerors shall provide sufficiently detailed information to demonstrate that it has at least one year of recent experience (within the last three years) delivering same or similar services as required by this solicitation; and have resources available to enable Offerors to fulfill requirements.

O Offerors whose past experience consists of short-duration or episodic task orders (TOs) or purchase orders (POs) shall satisfy the one-year requirement cumulatively. Experience may be demonstrated by Combining the active performance days across multiple episodic orders/contracts to reach a total of at least 365 calendar days within the past three (3) years; or Demonstrating performance under an active IDIQ, BPA, or master contract spanning at least 12 months under which orders were periodically performed within the past three (3) years. Offerors shall also fulfill this requirement through documented first-tier subcontractor experience or through the documented experience of proposed key personnel.

Additionally, Offerors shall submit a summary sheet listing three (3) recent prime contract or subcontract efforts and how each contract is relevant to the required services of this solicitation. Prime contract efforts are preferred. For each contract, detail how the scope, complexity, locations, and dollar value are relevant to the Naval Special Warfare Command Role Player Support Services or similar SOF requirements.

o Recent is defined as performance occurring within the last three (3) years from the solicitation release date.

o Relevant is defined as single-award contracts, standalone Task Orders, or IDIQ base awards demonstrating similar complexity in role player services and high-risk training support, meeting either of the following criteria:

1. An individual Task Order or standalone contract value of at least \$100,000.00; OR Total Contract Value (TCV) of at least \$6,000,000.00, and the aggregate value of multiple task orders under a single contract is acceptable.

3. Subcontractor and Affiliate Past Performance: In accordance with total small business set-aside regulations, Offerors may submit past performance information for first-tier small business subcontractors and corporate affiliates:

o First-Tier Small Business Subcontractors (13 CFR (S) 125.2(g)): Offerors shall provide a signed Teaming Agreement, Letter of Commitment, or Subcontract Agreement executed by authorized officials of both entities, accompanied by a detailed Workshare Description explicitly defining the subcontractor's operational role. The workshare shall demonstrate that the subcontractor will perform a meaningful portion of the scope of work directly correlating to its cited past performance, in compliance with SDVOSB Limitations on Subcontracting (FAR 52.219-14, requiring the prime to perform at least 50% of the cost of contract performance). Offerors shall also include a signed Letter of Consent authorizing the Government to evaluate the subcontractor's historical performance data.

o Offerors shall explicitly document the corporate affiliation (e.g., common parent ownership or control). Direct operational involvement in the contract is not required for affiliate past performance to be evaluated.

1. A maximum of three (3) past performance references total shall be submitted across all proposing entities.

VOLUME 4: PRICE

Offerors shall provide firm-fixed hourly rates for all labor categories across all five (5) ordering years by fully completing Attachment 2 - Pricing and ELIN Sheet.

1. Fully Burdened Rates: Offerors shall ensure rates are to be fully burdened and include all direct labor, indirect costs, overhead, G&A, and profit.

o Service Contract Labor Standards (SCLS) apply. Offerors shall map duties as applicable to the appropriate Department of Labor Wage Determinations provided in Attachments 4 - 8 for each location.

o In accordance with the governing footnote of Attachment 2, the contractual overtime rate is established by formula as exactly 1.5 times the proposed fully burdened regular hourly rate. There is no separate ELIN for overtime, the 1.5 multiplier applies directly to the fully burdened rate inclusive of profit. Offerors shall not create separate overtime line items on Attachment 2.

2. Completeness: Offerors shall provide fully burdened hourly rates and pricing for all Exhibit Line-Item Numbers (ELINs) and locations outlined in Attachment 2 - Pricing and ELIN Sheet. Partial quotes or proposals shall not be evaluated or considered for award.

3. Total Evaluated Price (TEP): For the purposes of the IDIQ Total Evaluated Price (TEP) evaluation, Offerors shall price the 8-hour day assumption strictly and exactly as pre-populated in Row 1, Columns R through U of the 'TEP - ELIN Price Sheet' (Attachment 2). The pre-populated hours and formulas establish an equitable, standardized baseline across all offerors. Offerors are strictly prohibited from altering hours, formulas, adding rows, or inserting line items.

o Travel and Other Direct Costs (ODCs) for IDIQ TEP (Government Plug): For the purpose of establishing the Total Evaluated Price (TEP) across the five-year basic IDIQ ordering periods, the Government has established a standardized Government Travel Plug amount in Attachment 2. Offerors shall not alter, edit, or adjust this Government-provided travel plug figure in the TEP summary sheet.

i. The Government travel plug will be applied equally across all Offerors to establish an equitable evaluation baseline.

ii. Actual prices for travel on individual task order awards will be negotiated and established as Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) ELINs in accordance with the Joint Travel Regulations (JTR) and FAR 31.205-46.

o Offerors shall utilize the designated additional spreadsheet tab within Attachment 2 to calculate Other Direct Costs (ODCs) in accordance with PWS Section 15.

o The spreadsheet is dynamically linked to automatically carry values directly into the main TEP summary sheet. Offerors shall not manually overwrite or disconnect these formulas.

4. Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI Pricing: Offerors shall complete Attachment 2 -Pricing and ELIN Sheet Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI tabs. In pricing the Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI, Offerors shall apply its proposed fully burdened labor rates from Attachment 2 to the specific labor categories and hours defined in the Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI. The Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI will be awarded as the first task orders under the resulting IDIQ contract, satisfying the contract's minimum requirement; however, these initial awards remain subject to the availability of funds in accordance with FAR 52.232-18, Availability of Funds.

o Unlike the IDIQ TEP (which uses a standardized Government travel plug), Offerors shall include its proposed travel costs and logistical expenses for the Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI based on its specific operational and technical approach described in Volume 2 (e.g., lodging, per diem, transportation, and barge/transit to San Clemente Island).

o Note: The pricing for Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI will be evaluated strictly on its own merits to verify price realism and operational technical alignment, and it will NOT be incorporated or added into the five-year master IDIQ TEP calculation.

5. Pricing Write-Up Requirements: Offerors shall submit a pricing narrative alongside Attachment 2 - Pricing and ELIN Sheet containing but not limited to the following sections:

o Justification: Offerors shall provide a detailed narrative justification for all proposed rates, fees, travel premiums, and mobilization/demobilization structures. Break down the basis of estimates for role player daily or hourly rates, specialized role player coordinator structures, special event safety coordinators, and overall support services. If the Offeror proposes specialized day rates for remote training evolutions, the daily rate breakdown shall be explicitly detailed and justified.

o The justification shall also detail the basis of estimate and cost structures for providing all mandatory Contractor-Furnished Equipment (CFE) specified in the PWS Section 15 (including helmets, gloves, throat guards, and groin guards), demonstrating how equipment purchase, maintenance, replacement cycles, and safety-sanitizing procedures are accounted for within the proposed fully burdened labor rates.

o Offerors shall provide complete, detailed supporting justification for all travel costs proposed for Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI. This submission shall include but not limited to:

i. a transparent basis of estimate detailing personnel headcount, mode of travel, origin and destination points, flight estimates, vehicle rentals, and fuel; per diem

and lodging calculations substantiated in accordance with the Joint Travel Regulations (JTR) and FAR 31.205-46. Offerors shall explicitly substantiate how its travel cost estimates directly correspond to the staffing and operational schedules in accordance with Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI.

6. Technical Mapping: Offerors shall provide a direct cross-walk and mapping to the technical approach described in Volume 2. It shall demonstrate how proposed pricing supports technical execution, such as mapping labor rates directly to the technical team size, specialized instructor qualifications, and aligning travel or mobilization structures directly to the regional training locations, economic transit plans, and logistical constraints (such as barge schedules, equipment transport, and berthing requirements on San Clemente Island) described in the technical quote/proposal.

7. Option to Extend Services (FAR 52.217-8): Offerors shall not submit a separate pricing sheet for the FAR 52.217-8 option. The evaluated price for this option period shall be mathematically calculated by the Government by applying 100% of the Offeror's proposed Year 5 fully burdened labor rates to exactly 50% of the proposed Year 5 hours. Proposed labor rates shall not be discounted or reduced.

8. Other than Certified Cost or Pricing Data: In accordance with FAR Part 12, certified cost or pricing data will not be required. However, the Government reserves the right to require the submission of "other than certified cost and pricing data" (e.g., labor rate build-ups, G&A allocations, historical payroll records) prior to award to support price reasonableness or price realism evaluations.

Evaluation Factors for Award

Additional Regulation or Supplemental Clauses Incorporated by Full Text

The following Clauses modifications were made:

52.212-2 Evaluation-Commercial Products and Commercial Services. (Deviation 2026-O0038) Feb 2026 hereby reads as follows:

Evaluation-Commercial Products and Commercial Services (Feb 2026) (Deviation 2026-O0038)

(a) Evaluation factors. The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible Offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered. The following factors will be used to evaluate offers:

The Government intends to award a single, Firm Fixed Price (FFP) Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible Offeror whose conforming quote/proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

Factor 1: Technical Capability
Factor 2: Past Performance
Factor 3: Price

Factor 1 (Technical Capability) is more important than Factor 2 (Past Performance). When combined, Factors 1 and 2 are significantly more important than Factor 3 (Price).

Award will be made to the responsible Offeror whose proposal conforms to the solicitation requirements and represents the best value to the Government using a qualitative tradeoff process among Factor 1 (Technical Capability), Factor 2 (Past Performance), and Factor 3 (Price). The Government reserves the right to award to a higher-priced Offeror if the superior technical capability, recruitment stability, or lower overall performance risk of its proposal justifies paying a premium.

An Unacceptable rating under Factor 1 (Technical Capability) will render the proposal technically unacceptable and ineligible for award. If an Offeror receives an Unacceptable rating under Factor 1 (Technical Capability) the Government reserves the right to discontinue evaluation and will not evaluate the Offeror's Factor 3 (Price) submission.

The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors. Offerors are cautioned that initial proposals should represent their best possible technical and price terms. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary and in the best interest of the Government.

Preliminary Compliance Review (Volume 1: Administrative Information)

Prior to conducting detailed qualitative technical, past performance, and price evaluations, the Government will perform an initial administrative compliance review of Volume 1: Administrative Information on a pass/fail or acceptable/unacceptable gateway basis. To be considered compliant and eligible for evaluation and award, the Offeror shall satisfy the following threshold requirements:

1. Quote Validity Statement: Affirmation that the quote is valid for no less than 180 calendar days from the RFP closing date.

2. SF 1449 Execution: Submission of a fully executed first page of Standard Form 1449 (Blocks 30a-30c) signed by an authorized corporate official. (Offerors shall not insert pricing on the SF 1449; all pricing shall reside exclusively in Attachment 2).

3. Company Identification & Registrations: Complete submission of legal entity name, commercial address, SAM Unique Entity ID (UEI), CAGE code, Tax Identification Number (TIN), designated corporate POC information, active registration in the System for Award Management (SAM) pursuant to FAR 52.204-7, and completed representations under FAR 52.204-26, FAR 52.209-7, and FAR 52.209-11.

4. Foreign Persons Provision: Fully executed Foreign Persons compliance declaration (L.2), including biographic data/resumes if foreign persons are proposed, or an affirmative mark ("X") indicating no foreign persons will work on the contract.

5. Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification (CMMC): Verifiable proof of CMMC Level 2 (Self) certification in accordance with DFARS 252.204-7025.

6. Corporate Facility Security Clearance (FCL): Documented verification of an active corporate Facility Security Clearance (FCL) at the SECRET level at the time of proposal submission.

7. Terms and Conditions Compliance: An explicit statement agreeing to all terms, conditions, and amendments of the solicitation without unacceptable business,

administrative, or legal exceptions.

Factor 1: Technical Capability

The Government will evaluate Volume 2: Technical Capability to determine the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a sound, practical, and risk-managed approach for satisfying the requirements of the Performance Work Statement (PWS), Initial TOR Niland, and Initial TOR SCI. General statements that the Offeror "understands and shall comply" with the requirements are inadequate. The evaluation will focus on, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Technical Approach & Execution: The Government will evaluate Offerors' operational methods, processes, and procedures for delivering, managing, and sustaining role player personnel across all training environments specified in PWS Section 4.0 and 5.0.

The Government will evaluate the extent to which the proposal demonstrates the capability to provide fully qualified personnel, including Key Personnel, possessing the requisite knowledge, certifications, and operational expertise necessary to successfully execute all task order requirements.

The Government will evaluate Offerors' operational capacity to execute realistic training profiles across all designated primary performance locations (including San Clemente Island, CA; Niland, CA; Fort Hunter Liggett, CA; San Diego, CA; and Seal Beach, CA), as well as the completeness of their procedures for securing and maintaining all required local, state, and federal permits.

The Government will evaluate the approach for provisioning all mandatory Contractor-Furnished Equipment (CFE) specified in PWS Section 15.0 (including helmets, gloves, throat guards, and groin guards) and the demonstrated compatibility and integration with Government-Furnished Property (GFP), such as protective full-face masks, pads, and marking cartridge weapons.

The Government will evaluate Offerors' end-to-end vetting and screening methodology to ensure all role players strictly satisfy the administrative, physiological, and operational demands of the PWS (including enduring physical restraint/zip-ties, remaining prone or standing for extended periods, wearing full PPE, operating marking cartridge weapons, and performing in austere conditions/hours), as well as documented compliance with high-risk training suitability mandates under OPNAVINST 1500.75C and COMNAVSPECWARCOMINST 1500.1

2. Management, Resource Assignment, Recruitment, and Retention Plan: The Government will evaluate Offerors' proposed Management, Resource Assignment, Recruitment, and Retention Plan. This includes evaluating the comprehensiveness of the narrative detailing how corporate resources, operational infrastructure, and personnel will be sourced, screened, logistically coordinated, assigned, and retained across all contract locations. The Government will evaluate the corporate management structure, lines of authority, and operational processes for safety-screening, scheduling, staging, and supporting role player personnel across geographically dispersed training sites. Furthermore, the Government will evaluate the active recruitment and retention strategy demonstrating the capability to source, vet, and maintain qualified personnel across nine (9) role player categories (Categories I through IX, Category X will be identified and negotiated at the TO level)

- o Category I (General Role Player, no clearance);
- o Category II (General Role Player, active SECRET clearance required at proposal submission);
- o Category III/IV foreign language speakers (minimum Interagency Language Roundtable [ILR] Speaking Level 2 proficiency in Arabic, Chinese, Russian, or Korean; Category IV requiring active SECRET clearance);
- o Category V tactical Opposing Forces (OPFOR) / former Special Operations Forces (SOF) (requiring active SECRET clearance);
- o Category VI medical scenario role players (amputee and non-amputee role players simulating trauma casualties; moulage application performed by the Government);
- o Category VII Pyrotechnics Subject Matter Experts (SMEs);
- o Category VIII Weapons Experts (possessing small arms handling proficiency; distinct from Category VII);
- o Category IX Lead Role Player (Key Personnel)

The Government will assess the Offeror's internal talent pipeline depth, tiered compensation models, and retention incentives to ensure workforce continuity, minimize operational turnover, and prevent performance disruptions.

3. Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI: The Government will evaluate the Offeror's narrative response to Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI to assess the degree to which it clearly demonstrates an understanding of the technical capability of management and personnel categories to accomplish the service requirements set forth by the PWS, Initial TOR Niland, and Initial TOR SCI.

Evaluators will assess the operational feasibility of the Offeror's plan to logistically coordinate, safety-screen, and concurrently deploy the proposed personnel mix and management structure to satisfy the rigorous scheduling demands, austere operational environments, and high-volume workloads outlined within Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI.

Offerors that fail to demonstrate a realistic staffing approach or show an inadequate understanding of the operational scope, regional logistics (e.g., barge transportation, berthing, and transit to San Clemente Island), or concurrent scheduling will receive a lower technical rating and may be rated Unacceptable.

4. Resumes: The Government will evaluate submitted resumes across all labor categories specified in PWS Section 4.8 (with the exception of Category X, which will be defined at the individual task order level) and PWS Section 5.2 to assess the Offeror's capability to provide qualified personnel possessing the knowledge, background, and operational expertise necessary to successfully execute task order requirements:

- o Lead Role Player (Category IX / Key Personnel): The submitted resume will be evaluated to verify that the proposed individual possesses a minimum of two (2) consecutive years of documented experience serving as a Special Operations Forces (SOF) Role Player (or equivalent SOF tactical training support experience clearly substantiated in the resume), as well as documented familiarity with sensitive equipment and weapons inventory control procedures. A single resume satisfies both the Category IX and Key Personnel Lead Role Player requirement.

Security Clearances: For categories requiring a security clearance (Categories II, IV, V, and IX), the Government will verify that proposed personnel possess an ACTIVE SECRET clearance at the time of proposal submission. Proposing individuals who hold only eligibility without active access at submission will be evaluated unfavorably and may constitute a deficiency.

5. Quality Control Plan: The Government will evaluate the Offeror's Quality Control Plan to determine the adequacy, responsiveness, and operational rigor of its internal quality control processes, muster verification, and corrective action mechanisms pursuant to PWS Section 16.

Factor 1 Evaluation Methodology: Combined Technical/Risk Ratings:

The Government shall assign a single, consolidated adjectival rating to Factor 1. This rating incorporates the evaluation of technical approach, personnel qualifications, corporate experience, and performance risk.

Risk assessment evaluates the likelihood of unsuccessful contract performance, focusing on proposed technical knowledge, management structures, capabilities, and understanding of the PWS and Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI. Deficiencies, significant weaknesses, weaknesses, and strengths shall be defined and utilized by evaluators to determine the adjectival rating in accordance with the tables below.

Table 1: Combined Technical/Risk Ratings

Col or Rat ing	Adject ival R ating	Description
BL UE	OUTSTA NDING	Proposal demonstrates an exceptional approach and understanding of the requirements and contains multiple strengths and/or at least one significant strength, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low.
PU RP LE	GOO D	Proposal indicates a thorough approach and understanding of the requirements and contains at least one strength or significant strength, and risk of unsuccessful performance is low to moderate.
GR EE N	ACCEPT ABLE	Proposal meets requirements and indicates an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and risk of unsuccessful performance is no worse than moderate.
YE LL OW	MAR GINA L	Proposal has not demonstrated an adequate approach and understanding of the requirements, and/or risk of unsuccessful performance is high.
RE D	UNAC CEPT ABLE	Proposal does not meet requirements of the solicitation and, thus, contains one or more deficiencies and is unawardable, and/or risk of performance is unacceptably high.

Table 2: Evaluation Element Definitions

Finding Term	Regulatory Definition
SIGNIFIC ANT STR ENGTH	An aspect of an Offeror's proposal with appreciable merit or that exceeds specified performance or capability requirements to the considerable advantage of the Government during contract performance.
STRENGT H	An aspect of an Offeror's proposal that has merit or exceeds specified performance or capability requirements in a way that will be advantageous to the Government during contract performance.
WEAKNE SS	A flaw in the proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.

SIGNIFICANT WEAKNESS	A flaw that appreciably increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance.
DEFICIENCY	A material failure of a proposal to meet a Government requirement or a combination of significant weaknesses in a proposal that increases the risk of unsuccessful contract performance to an unacceptable level.

Factor 2: Past Performance

The Government will evaluate the Past Performance volume to determine the extent to which the Offeror possesses the organizational experience and demonstrated past performance track record necessary to successfully manage, oversee, and execute the PWS requirements. The evaluation will assess the demonstrated recency, relevancy, and quality of past performance.

1. Recency: The Government will evaluate Offerors' demonstrated recent past performance (at least one (1) year of performance within the last three (3) years from the solicitation date) delivering the same or similar services as required by this solicitation, as well as the availability of corporate resources to fulfill contract requirements.

The Government will evaluate the summary sheet listing three recent prime contract or subcontract efforts, assessing how each effort is relevant in terms of scope, magnitude of effort, complexity, and dollar value. Prime contract efforts are preferred and will be evaluated more favorably. For each contract, the Government will evaluate how the scope, complexity, locations, and dollar value are relevant to the Naval Special Warfare Command Role Player Support Services or similar SOF requirements.

The Government will evaluate whether the Offeror has demonstrated a minimum of one (1) year of recent experience. The Government will evaluate episodic or short-duration performance as follows:

Performance under a single contract/order with an active period of performance of 12 consecutive months or more.

For multiple episodic task orders, delivery orders, or purchase orders, the Government will sum the active days of performance across all submitted orders. A combined total of at least 365 calendar days within the three-year recency window meets the one-year requirement. Overlapping performance days across concurrent orders will only be counted once toward the 365-day total.

If an Offeror held an active IDIQ, BPA, or master ordering agreement spanning 12 continuous months or more within the recency window, and received and performed orders under that vehicle, this satisfies the one-year threshold.

Documented experience performing as a first-tier subcontractor or the documented experience of proposed key personnel performing in equivalent capacities will be credited toward the one-year requirement in accordance with FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iii).

2. Relevancy: The Government will evaluate the relevance (complexity, scale, and dollar value) of the three (3) submitted contract references to assess the Offeror's capability to oversee, manage, and scale role player activities. In assessing the relevance of past performance efforts, the Government will assign an adjectival rating for relevancy to each submitted effort in accordance with Tables below.

- o An individual Task Order or standalone contract value of at least \$100,000.00; OR Total Contract Value (TCV) of at least \$6,000,000.00, and the aggregate value of multiple task orders under a single contract.

3. First-Tier Small Business Subcontractors (13 CFR (S) 125.2(g)): the Government will or may evaluate, as the Offeror's own, the capabilities, past performance, and experience of first-tier small business subcontractors specifically proposed and identified in the proposal/quote, to the extent that the prime SDVOSB Offeror does not independently demonstrate the necessary past performance history. The Government will verify that the proposal includes a signed Teaming Agreement/ Letter of Commitment, an authorization Letter of Consent, and a Workshare Description demonstrating that the subcontractor will perform a meaningful portion of the scope of work correlating to its cited past performance, in compliance with SDVOSB Limitations on Subcontracting (FAR 52.219-14, requiring the prime to perform at least 50% of the cost of contract performance).

4. Affiliate Past Performance (DFARS 215.305(a)(2)(C) & DoD Class Deviation 215.202-70(a)(3)): The Government will or may consider relevant past performance information provided for corporate affiliates of the small business Offeror, provided corporate affiliation (shared parent company or control) is clearly documented. Direct operational involvement of the affiliate in contract execution is not required.

5. Performance Validation: The Government reserves the right to validate the performance of the listed corporate efforts utilizing official Government performance databases, including the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS). Corporate experience that is highly relevant to Naval Special Warfare or Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) operational profiles will be evaluated more favorably.

Table 3: Past Performance Relevancy Ratings

Adjectival Rating	Description
Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

Not Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
--------------	---

Table 4: Performance Confidence Assessments Rating Method

Adjectival Rating	Description
Satisfactory Confidence	The Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on the Offeror's recent and relevant performance record.
Neutral	The Offeror lacks a record of relevant past performance or performance information is not available. The Offeror is evaluated neither favorably nor unfavorably.
Limited Confidence	The Government has a low expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on the offeror's recent and relevant performance record.
No Confidence	The Government has no expectation that the Offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort based on the Offeror's recent and relevant performance record.

Factor 3: Price Evaluation

Price will be evaluated in accordance with FAR Part 12. Proposed pricing will be evaluated to determine whether the proposed rates are fair, reasonable, realistic, and complete.

1. Total Evaluated Price (TEP) Calculation: The Government will evaluate price proposals by calculating a Total Evaluated Price (TEP) to establish a fair and common baseline for proposal comparison.

Labor Extensions: The TEP calculation combines fully burdened hourly labor rate extensions across all specified labor categories, performance locations, and five (5) IDIQ ordering periods with proposed Travel and Other Direct Costs (ODCs), as populated in Attachment 2 - Price and ELIN Sheet.

Standardized 8-Hour Day Assumption: The Government will multiply its pre-populated projected annual hours (Row 1, Columns R through U of the 'TEP - ELIN Price Sheet' in Attachment 2) by the Offeror's proposed fully burdened hourly rates. Offerors are strictly prohibited from altering hours, formulas, adding rows, or inserting line items.

Overtime Rate Formula: In accordance with the governing footnote of Attachment 2, the contractual overtime rate is established by formula as exactly 1.5 times the proposed fully burdened regular hourly rate. There is no separate ELIN for overtime; evaluators will verify that no separate overtime ELINs were created and that the 1.5 multiplier applies directly to the fully burdened rate inclusive of profit.

Service Contract Labor Standards (SCLS): Evaluators will review proposed labor rates to ensure compliance with applicable Department of Labor Wage Determinations provided in Attachments 4 through 8 for each designated performance location. Only if indicated as applicable by Offeror.

Standardized Government Travel Plug: To ensure an equitable and objective evaluation baseline across all contract ordering periods, the Government has incorporated a standardized, pre-populated Travel plug number into the IDIQ TEP calculation within Attachment 2. For evaluation purposes, the TEP will include this standardized travel estimation for Ordering Period 1. Offerors shall not alter, edit, or adjust this Government plug figure. Final travel requirements on individual task orders will be negotiated and established as Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) ELINs in accordance with the Joint Travel Regulations (JTR) and FAR 31.205-46.

Other Direct Costs (ODCs): Evaluators will verify the dynamically linked calculations in the ODC tab of Attachment 2 (supporting PWS Section 15.0 requirements) and ensure values correctly feed into the main TEP summary without broken or overwritten formulas.

Note: The resulting IDIQ TEP serves strictly as an evaluation metric for source selection and does not represent a binding contract or task order ceiling upon award.

2. Initial Task Orders (TOR Niland and TOR SCI) Price Evaluation: The Government will evaluate each Offeror's completed Initial TOR Niland Price Tab, Initial TOR SCI Price Tab, and associated Travel Tabs in Attachment 2:

Evaluators will verify that the proposed pricing directly and accurately incorporates the applicable fully burdened hourly labor rates from Exhibit A for the specific labor categories and hours defined in Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI.

Unlike the IDIQ TEP (which uses a standardized Government travel plug), the Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI evaluation will examine the Offeror's actual proposed travel pricing, lodging, per diem, and logistical transit costs (e.g., barge/transit to San Clemente Island) to assess operational understanding under realistic training conditions.

Evaluators will assess the transparent Basis of Estimate (BOE) in the pricing write-up, verifying that proposed travel costs correspond directly to personnel headcounts, modes of transportation, origin and destination points, flight estimates, vehicle rentals, fuel, and substantiated per diem/lodging in accordance with the

JTR and FAR 31.205-46.

The evaluated prices for Initial TOR Niland and Initial TOR SCI are evaluated strictly on their own merits to verify operational technical alignment, and will NOT be incorporated or added into the five-year master IDIQ TEP calculation.

3. **Price Reasonableness:** In accordance with FAR 12.204(a) and FAR 15.404-1(b), the Contracting Officer must determine proposed pricing to be fair and reasonable before making an award. Price reasonableness will be established primarily based on competitive offers. If only one acceptable quote is received, the Government reserves the right to request information other than certified cost or pricing data to determine price reasonableness.

4. **Completeness & Accuracy:** The Government will evaluate the completed Attachment 2 - Price and ELIN Sheet (including all linked Travel and ODC sheets and tabs) for mathematical accuracy, completeness, and compliance with instructions.

The pricing sheet and all of its component sheets must be completed in its entirety.

Fully burdened hourly rates must be provided for all labor categories across all locations and years, and all Travel and ODC cells must be fully completed; missing or incomplete pricing in any ELIN cell or tab may result in proposal rejection.

The Government notes that while Travel and ODCs are evaluated under the TEP utilizing the linked spreadsheet values, final travel prices will be negotiated and established as FFP ELINs on each individual task order in accordance with the JTR.

5. **Technical Mapping:** The Government will evaluate the pricing write-up to ensure that the proposed pricing directly maps to the Technical Proposal (Volume 2).

The Government will assess whether proposed pricing aligns directly with the technical approach, including team sizing, specialized instructor qualifications, regional training sites, and logistical constraints.

The Government will review and assess the narrative justification for mandatory Contractor-Furnished Equipment (CFE) under PWS Section 15.0 (helmets, gloves, throat guards, groin guards) to verify that equipment acquisition, maintenance, replacement cycles, and safety sanitization are fully substantiated and properly integrated within the proposed fully burdened labor rates.

Proposals exhibiting pricing that fails to align with the technical approach (e.g., under-budgeted role player headcounts, unsupported travel structures, missing Category VII/VIII SME costs, or omitted CFE costs) may be considered unrealistic, incomplete, or indicative of an unacceptable performance risk.

Regarding evaluation ratings for Technical and findings listed above, since it is impossible to anticipate the nature of each Offeror's proposal in advance or to describe all the qualities and considerations that could result in one of the above definitions, these definitions should be looked upon as examples of typical characteristics, and will be used as a guide or reference rather than a rigid measure to be followed word-for-word. Evaluators will be instructed to select the rating/definition that most closely fits the value or desirability of the Offeror's proposed performance.

(b) *Options*. The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. The Government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the option prices are significantly unbalanced. The evaluation of options does not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). **The evaluated price for the six-month option period under FAR 52.217-8 will be mathematically calculated by the Government by applying 100% of the Offeror's proposed Ordering Period 5 fully burdened labor rates to exactly 50% of the proposed Ordering Period 5 hours. Proposed labor rates shall not be discounted or reduced. Evaluation of the FAR 52.217-8 option does not obligate the Government to exercise the option.**

(c) *Notice of award*. A written notice of award or acceptance of an offer furnished to the successful Offeror within the time for acceptance specified in the offer, shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party. Before the offer's specified expiration time, the Government may accept an offer (or part of an offer), whether or not there are negotiations after its receipt, unless a written notice of withdrawal is received before award.

(End of provision)